

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,906	DABAK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jean B. Corrielus	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Robert Roundtree.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 November 2007

Time: At around 3:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23, 42, 45, 49, 52, 53, 60, 61 and 65

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the claims as indicated in the attached examiner's amendment. such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance. Note that applicant agrees to cancel claim 49 and 65 because the claims depend on a method claim while the claims include apparatus type limitations. in addition, applicant agrees to amend the specification so as to be consistent with the claims and drawing(s)..